

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                 | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/811,267                      | 03/26/2004      | Robert G. Bainbridge | 60044               | 1635             |
| 24230                           | 7590 02/18/2005 |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|                                 | RESEARCH INCO   | WONG, ST             | WONG, STEVEN B      |                  |
| P O BOX 418<br>OTTAWA, KS 66067 |                 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| •                               |                 |                      | 3711                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 02/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <del></del>   |  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |          |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|----------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |  | 10/811,267  | BAINBRIDGE ET  | r ACM    |  |  |  |
|   |  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |          |  |  |  |
|   |  | Steven Wong   | 3711   |          |  |  |  |
| The MAIL Period for Reply   | NG DATE of this communication app  | ears on the cover sheet   | with the correspondence a  | ddress   |  |  |  |
| THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time m after SIX (6) MONTH  - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received by | STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ay be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. Specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the Office later than three months after the mailing dijustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of to will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mi cause the application to become | a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered tim  ONTHS from the mailing date of this  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |          |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |  | •        |  |  |  |
| 1)☐ Responsiv   | e to communication(s) filed on   |   |  |          |  |  |  |
| 2a)☐ This action  | is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This  | action is non-final.  |  |          |  |  |  |
| •   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |  |          |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Clair  | ns   |   |  |          |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the a 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4</u>  | Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) 10-20 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-9 is/are rejected.  |   |  |          |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |   |  |          |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specific  | cation is objected to by the Examine   | r.  |  |          |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 March 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.  |  |   |  |          |  |  |  |
| • •   | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |  |          |  |  |  |
|   | nt drawing sheet(s) including the correct<br>declaration is objected to by the Ex  | •   |  |          |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.  | S.C. § 119   |   |  |          |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Certi 2. Certi 3. Copi  | gment is made of a claim for foreign Some * c) None of: fied copies of the priority document fied copies of the priority document es of the certified copies of the priority document cation from the International Bureau ched detailed Office action for a list  | s have been received.<br>s have been received in<br>ity documents have bee<br>u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).                                       | Application No en received in this Nationa   | al Stage |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  | 🗖 :   |  |          |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of Reference 2) Notice of Draftspers  | es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   |   | v Summary (PTO-413)<br>o(s)/Mail Date  |          |  |  |  |
|   | ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   |   | f Informal Patent Application (P7  | (O-152)  |  |  |  |

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/811,267

Art Unit: 3711

## **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: element 36 (page 5). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hovey (6,083,121). Regarding claim 1, Hovey discloses a golf tee construction (Figures 2 and 3) including an outer sleeve (32), an inner sleeve (60) and a golf tee (90) that is received by the inner sleeve. Note Figure 3 showing the inner sleeve open end (72) and closed end (64). Note Figures 3 and 9 showing the outer sleeve open end and closed end (240). Note also Figure 3

Application/Control Number: 10/811,267

Art Unit: 3711

showing a first fastener structure (48, 50) extending along the outer sleeve and a second complementary fastener structure (74, 80) along an extent of the inner sleeve.

Regarding claim 3, note bevelled end (50) of the outer sleeve which would cause the inner sleeve to resist upward movement.

Regarding claims 7 and 8, note column 1, lines 63-65 stating that the inner sleeve is made from a flexible urethane material. The urethane material is seen as being inherently resilient and elastomeric.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bressie (4,660,837). Regarding claim 1, Bressie discloses a golfing apparatus comprising a tubular outer sleeve (12) having a open top (15) and a closed bottom (16), a tubular inner sleeve (24) slidable within the outer sleeve and having an open top (36) for receiving a golf tee therein and first and second fastener structures (28, 50). However, Bressie lacks the teaching for the inner sleeve to be closed at one end.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to delete the through hole (48) in the inner sleeve in order to provide a stronger inner sleeve by forming it as a continuous unitary member. The through holes in the outer sleeve would still be inherently capable of venting air in the inner chamber to the outside.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,267

Art Unit: 3711

Regarding claim 2, the first and second fasteners of Bressie are formed as a plurality of notches with a spring tab that selectably engages one of the notches.

Regarding claim 3, the shape of the notches would obviously resist upward movement of the inner sleeve relative to the outer sleeve.

Regarding claim 9, note Figures 1 and 2 of Bressie showing a generally disc-shaped collar (14) mounted to the outer sleeve at the open top end

6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hovey (6,083,121) in view of Brennan (3,516,664). Hovey lacks the teaching for the bottom end of the outer sleeve to comprise a pointed tip.

Brennan discloses a golf tee holder (Figure 3) comprising a tubular outer sleeve (15) having an open end and a closed end and an inner sleeve (7) having an open end and a closed end. The inner sleeve receives a golf tee. Brennan also provides the outer sleeve with a pointed tip (17) to facilitate insertion of the device into the turf.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide outer sleeve of the golf tee of Hovey with a pointed tip as taught by Brennan in order to allow the tee to be used in the ground and facilitate insertion thereof.

### Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 4, 5 and 10-20 appear to read over the prior art of record.

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-4416. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7am-3:30pm.

Art Unit: 3711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 571-272-4415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven Wong Primary Examine Art Unit 3711

SBW February 17, 2005